

Tópicos especiais em Políticas Planetárias e Antropoceno 1 e 2

Terças-feiras das 18:00 às 21:50.

IREL, UnB

Prof. Niels Soendergaard

Ementa

Tópicos especiais em Políticas Planetárias e Antropoceno - Sociedade da informação e política planetária. Tecnohumanismo. *Complexity thinking*. Relações internacionais pós-humanas. Big data, geo-engenharia e governança dos sistemas planetários.

Objetivos

O objetivo da disciplina é tratar de grandes deslocamentos nas relações internacionais no momento atual, a partir das três macrotendências de 1) mudança da ordem liberal, 2) reordenamento da globalização e intensificação da rivalidade geoeconômica, e 3) desafios ecológicos e governança no antropoceno. Adota um foco fortemente conjuntural em umnexo de temáticas centrais em termos de definir transformações globais atuais. Portanto, procura fornecer aos estudantes uma perspectiva de um complexo panorama atual, marcado por acelerada evolução tecnológica, urgentes desafios ecológicos, e crescente tensão geopolítica.

Avaliação

A avaliação da disciplina será feita a partir do trabalho para escrever um artigo científico relacionado às temáticas da disciplina tanto como o objeto de estudo de cada estudante. O artigo será avaliado por meio do processo, o produto entregue, como a apresentação dele na parte dos seminários.

Cronograma

(A literatura é indicativa. É recomendado que os participantes da turma leiam pelo menos dois textos para cada encontro)

Aula 1. 17/3. Introdução à disciplina

Aula 2. 24/3. As raízes históricas da Ordem Liberal

Leituras obrigatórias:

Helleiner, Eric (2019): The life and times of embedded liberalism: legacies and innovations since Bretton Woods, *Review of International Political Economy*, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2019.1607767

Trachtenberg, Marc. (2025) The Rules-Based International Order: A Historical Analysis. *International Security* 2025; 50 (2): 7–54.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC.a.11>

Leituras optativas:

Anievas, A., & Saull, R. (2019). Reassessing the Cold War and the Far-Right: Fascist Legacies and the Making of the Liberal International Order after 1945. *International Studies Review* (Vol. 22, Issue 3, pp. 370–395). <https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/viz006>

Orfeo Fioretos & Eugénia C. Heldt (2019): Legacies and innovations in global economic governance since Bretton Woods, *Review of International Political Economy*, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2019.1635513

Ikenberry, G. J. (2005). Power and liberal order: America's postwar world order in transition. *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*, Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp. 133–152.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/irap/lci112>

Jahn, B. (2018). Liberal internationalism: historical trajectory and current prospects. *International Affairs*, Vol. 94, Issue 1, pp. 43–61. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix231>

Tourinho, M. (2021). The Co-Constitution of Order. *International Organization*, Vol. 75, Issue 2, pp. 258–281. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0020818320000466>

Long, Tom & Schulz, Carsten-Andreas (2022) Republican internationalism: the nineteenth-century roots of Latin American contributions to international order, *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, 35:5, 639-661, DOI: 10.1080/09557571.2021.1944983

McKeil, A. (2022). Order without Victory: International Order Theory Before and After Liberal Hegemony. *International Studies Quarterly* (Vol. 67, Issue 1).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/isq/sqad002>

Aula 3. 31/3. Convulsão social e desafios contemporâneos à ordem liberal

Leituras obrigatórias:

Babic, M. (2020). Let's talk about the interregnum: Gramsci and the crisis of the liberal world order. *International Affairs* (Vol. 96, Issue 3, pp. 767–786).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiz254>

Goddard SE, Newman A. (2025) Further Back to the Future: Neo-Royalism, the Trump Administration, and the Emerging International System. *International Organization*. 2025;79(S1):S12-S25. doi:10.1017/S0020818325101057

Leituras optativas:

- Fehl, C., & Thimm, J. (2019). Dispensing With the Indispensable Nation? *Global Governance* (Vol. 25, Issue 1, pp. 23–46). Brill. <https://doi.org/10.1163/19426720-02501006>
- Hale, H. E., & Lenton, A. C. (2024). Do Autocrats Need a Foreign Enemy? Evidence from Fortress Russia. *International Security*, 49(1), 9–50. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00486
- Kalyanpur, Nikhil (2023) An illiberal economic order: commitment mechanisms become tools of authoritarian coercion, *Review of International Political Economy*, 30:4, 1238-1254, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2023.2211280
- Levitsky, Steven & Way, Lucan (2025) The path to American authoritarianism. What comes after democratic breakdown. *Foreign Affairs*.
- Peterson, John (2018) Present at the Destruction? The Liberal Order in the Trump Era, *The International Spectator*, 53:1, 28-44, DOI: 10.1080/03932729.2018.1421295
- Priebe, M., Schuessler, J., Rooney, B., & Castillo, J. (2024). Competing visions of restraint. *International Security*, 49(2), 135–169. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00498
- Stokes, D. (2018). Trump, American hegemony and the future of the liberal international order. *International Affairs* (Vol. 94, Issue 1, pp. 133–150). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix238>
- Stewart, B. (2020). The rise of far-right civilizationism. *Critical Sociology*, 46(7–8), 1207–1220. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0896920519894051>
- Bettiza, G., Bolton, D., & Lewis, D. (2023). Civilizationism and the Ideological Contestation of the Liberal International Order. *International Studies Review* (Vol. 25, Issue 2). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/viad006>
- Søndergaard, Niels (2020) New Lines Within the European Civil Society Mobilizations Against the TTIP, *New Political Economy*, 25:2, 284-299, DOI: 10.1080/13563467.2019.1598958
- Walt, Stephen M. "The Predatory Hegemon: How Trump Wields American Power." *Foreign Affairs* 105, no. 2 (March/April 2026). Published online February 3, 2026.
- Casarões, Guilherme Stolle Paixão; Farias Déborah Barros Leal (2021): Brazilian foreign policy under Jair Bolsonaro: far-right populism and the rejection of the liberal international order, *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*, DOI: 10.1080/09557571.2021.1981248

Aula 4. 7/4. A emergência da China e a ordem global

Leituras obrigatórias:

Kang, David C. Jackie S. H. Wong, Zenobia T. Chan; What Does China Want?. *International Security* 2025; 50 (1): 46–81.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC.a.5>

Johnston, A. I. (2019). China in a World of Orders: Rethinking Compliance and Challenge in Beijing's International Relations. *International Security*, Vol. 44, Issue 2, pp. 9–60. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00360

Leituras optativas:

Allan, B. B., Vucetic, S., & Hopf, T. (2018). The Distribution of Identity and the Future of International Order: China's Hegemonic Prospects. *International Organization*, Vol. 72, Issue 4, pp. 839–869. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0020818318000267>

Chan, S. (2021). Challenging the liberal order: the US hegemon as a revisionist power. *International Affairs*, Vol. 97, Issue 5, pp. 1335–1352. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iab074>

Doshi, Rush (2021) *The Long Game: China's Grand Strategy to Displace American Order*. New York: Oxford University Press.

De Graaff, N., & Van Apeldoorn, B. (2018). US–China relations and the liberal world order: contending elites, colliding visions? *International Affairs* (Vol. 94, Issue 1, pp. 113–131). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix232>

Layne, C. (2018). The US–Chinese power shift and the end of the Pax Americana. *International Affairs*, Vol. 94, Issue 1, pp. 89–111. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix249>

Lim, Darren J. & G. Ikenberry, John (2023) China and the Logic of Illiberal Hegemony, *Security Studies*, 32:1, 1-31, DOI: 10.1080/09636412.2023.2178963

Lind, J. (2024). Back to bipolarity: How China's rise transformed the balance of power. *International Security*, 49(2), 7–55. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00494

Shiffrinson, Itzkowitz, J. R. (2020). Partnership or Predation? How Rising States Contend with Declining Great Powers. *International Security* (Vol. 45, Issue 1, pp. 90–126). MIT Press - Journals. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00384

Beckley, M. (2023). The Peril of Peaking Powers: Economic Slowdowns and Implications for China's Next Decade. *International Security*, Vol. 48, Issue 1, pp. 7–46. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00463

Malkin, Anton (2020): The made in China challenge to US structural power: industrial policy, intellectual property and multinational corporations, *Review of International Political Economy*, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2020.1824930

Allison, Graham T. *Destined for War: Can America and China Escape Thucydides's Trap?* Boston: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2017.

Aula 5. 14/4. O futuro da ordem global e a emergente multipolaridade

Leituras obrigatórias:

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2019). Bound to Fail: The Rise and Fall of the Liberal International Order. *International Security*, Vol. 43, Issue 4, pp. 7–50. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00342

Flockhart, Trine (2016) The coming multi-order world, *Contemporary Security Policy*, 37:1, 3-30, DOI: 10.1080/13523260.2016.1150053

Leituras optativas:

Acharya, Amitav (2017) After Liberal Hegemony: The Advent of a Multiplex World Order. *Ethics & International Affairs*, 31 no.3, pp.271-285.

Aydin, U. (2021). Emerging middle powers and the liberal international order. *International Affairs* (Vol. 97, Issue 5, pp. 1377–1394).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiab090>

Goddard, S. E. (2018). Embedded Revisionism: Networks, Institutions, and Challenges to World Order. *International Organization* (Vol. 72, Issue 4, pp. 763–797). Cambridge University Press (CUP). <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0020818318000206>

Glaser, C. L. (2019). A Flawed Framework: Why the Liberal International Order Concept Is Misguided. *International Security* (Vol. 43, Issue 4, pp. 51–87).
https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00343

Parsi, Trita (2024) Toward a Better Security Order / November 2024. Quincy Institute for Responsible Statecraft.

Peters, Michael A. (2023) The emerging multipolar world order: A preliminary analysis, *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 55:14, 1653-1663, DOI: 10.1080/00131857.2022.2151896

Ikenberry, G. J. (2018). The end of liberal international order? *International Affairs* (Vol. 94, Issue 1, pp. 7–23). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iix241>

Ikenberry, G. (2018). Why the Liberal World Order Will Survive. *Ethics & International Affairs*, 32(1), 17-29. doi:10.1017/S0892679418000072

Aula 6. 21/4 Feriado

Aula 7. 28/4. Geopolítica, choques globais e desglobalização(?)

Leituras obrigatórias:

Farrell, H., & Newman, A. L. (2019). Weaponized Interdependence: How Global Economic Networks Shape State Coercion. *International Security* (Vol. 44, Issue 1, pp. 42–79). https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00351

Schindler, S., Alami, I., DiCarlo, J., Jepson, N., Rolf, S., Bayırbağ, M. K., ... Zhao, Y. (2023). The Second Cold War: US-China Competition for Centrality in Infrastructure, Digital, Production, and Finance Networks. *Geopolitics*, 29(4), 1083–1120.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14650045.2023.2253432>

Leituras optativas:

Christensen, T. J. (2024). Mutually Assured Disruption: Globalization, Security, and the Dangers of Decoupling. *World Politics*. <https://doi.org/10.1353/wp.0.a918726>

- Ripsman, N. M. (2021). Globalization, deglobalization and Great Power politics. *International Affairs*, Vol. 97, Issue 5, pp. 1317–1333. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiab091>
- Chen, L. S., & Evers, M. M. (2023). “Wars without Gun Smoke”: Global Supply Chains, Power Transitions, and Economic Statecraft. *International Security*, Vol. 48, Issue 2, pp. 164–204). https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00473
- Cha, Victor D. (2023) Collective Resilience: Deterring China's Weaponization of Economic Interdependence. *International Security* 48 (1): 91–124. doi: https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00465
- Farrell, Henry, Newman, Abraham. (2023) *Underground Empire: How America Weaponized the World Economy*. First ed., New York: Henry Holt and Company, 2023.
- Gjesvik, Lars (2023) Private infrastructure in weaponized interdependence, *Review of International Political Economy*, 30:2, 722-746, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2022.2069145
- Gupta, S., Wang, Y., & Czinkota, M. (2023). Reshoring: A Road to Industry 4.0 Transformation. *British Journal of Management* (Vol. 34, Issue 3, pp. 1081–1099). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12731>
- Kornprobst, M., & Paul, T. V. (2021). Globalization, deglobalization and the liberal international order. *International Affairs* (Vol. 97, Issue 5, pp. 1305–1316). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiab120>
- Paul, T.V. (2023) The Spectre of Deglobalization. *Current History*, January, 2023.
- Dür A, Invernizzi A. Weathering the Storm: US Trade Policy Beyond Trump. *International Organization*. 2025;79(S1):S194-S208. doi:10.1017/S0020818325101112

Aula 8. 5/5. A geoeconomia atual: um neoestatismo resurgente?

Leituras obrigatórias:

Lavery, Scott (2023): Rebuilding the fortress? Europe in a changing world economy, *Review of International Political Economy*, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2023.2211281

Ougaard, M. (2015). The reconfiguration of the transnational power bloc in the crisis. *European Journal of International Relations* (Vol. 22, Issue 2, pp. 459–482). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066115589616>

Leituras optativas:

Draghi, Mario (2024) The future of European competitiveness. European Commission

Di Carlo, D., & Schmitz, L. (2023). Europe first? The rise of EU industrial policy promoting and protecting the single market. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 30(10), 2063–2096. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2023.2202684>

Wijaya, Trissia & Jayasuriya, Kanishka (2024): Militarised neoliberalism and the reconstruction of the global political economy, *New Political Economy*, 10.1080/13563467.2023.2298199

Helleiner, E. (2021). The Return of National Self-Sufficiency? Excavating Autarkic Thought in a De-Globalizing Era. *International Studies Review* (Vol. 23, Issue 3, pp. 933–957). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/isr/viaa092>

Mazzucato, M. & Kattel, R. (2022) Mission-Oriented Industrial Strategy. United Nations Industrial Development Programme.

McNally, Christopher A. (2019): Chaotic mélange: neo-liberalism and neo-statism in the age of Sino-capitalism, *Review of International Political Economy*, DOI: 10.1080/09692290.2019.1683595

Pearson, M. M., Rithmire, M., & Tsai, K. S. (2022). China's Party-State Capitalism and International Backlash: From Interdependence to Insecurity. *International Security* (Vol. 47, Issue 2, pp. 135–176). MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00447

Blackwill, Robert D., and Jennifer M. Harris. *War by Other Means: Geoeconomics and Statecraft*. Cambridge, MA: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2016.

Tan D. The Decoupling Dilemma: How US Sanctions Erode Global Economic Governance. *International Organization*. 2025;79(S1):S134-S147. doi:10.1017/S0020818325100994

Aula 9. 12/5. A virada tecnológica e a geopolítica do antropoceno

Leituras obrigatórias:

Weymouth S. Digital Disintegration: Techno-Blocs and Strategic Sovereignty in the AI Era. *International Organization*. 2025;79(S1):S57-S70. doi:10.1017/S0020818325101070

Atal, M. R., Taggart, J., Schindler, S., Logan, S., Utrata, A., Lockwood, E., & Drezner, D. (2025). Oligarchic sovereignty: Technology and the future of global order. *Review of International Studies*, 52(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0260210525101599>

Leituras optativas:

Broeders, D., Cristiano, F., & Kaminska, M. (2023). In Search of Digital Sovereignty and Strategic Autonomy: Normative Power Europe to the Test of Its Geopolitical Ambitions. *Journal of Common Market Studies* (Vol. 61, Issue 5, pp. 1261–1280). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.13462>

Capri, Alex. (2021) Techno-nationalism via semiconductors: Can chip manufacturing return to America? Hinrich Foundation.

Lonergan Erica D; Poznansky Michael (2024) Competing Visions for US Grand Strategy in Cyberspace, *Security Studies*, 33:4, 607-639, DOI: 10.1080/09636412.2024.2393862

Gilli, A., & Gilli, M. (2019). Why China has not caught up yet: Military-Technological superiority and the limits of imitation, reverse engineering, and cyber espionage. *International Security*, 43(3), 141–189. https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00337

Farrand, Benjamin & Carrapico, Helena (2022) Digital sovereignty and taking back control: from regulatory capitalism to regulatory mercantilism in EU cybersecurity, *European Security*, 31:3, 435-453, DOI: 10.1080/09662839.2022.2102896

Larsen, B.C. (2022) The geopolitics of AI and the rise of digital sovereignty. Brookings.

Luo, Y., Van Assche, A. The rise of techno-geopolitical uncertainty: Implications of the United States CHIPS and Science Act. *J Int Bus Stud* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-023-00620-3>

Broeders, D., Sukumar, A., Kello, M., & Andersen, L. H. (2025). Digital corporate autonomy: geo-economics and corporate agency in conflict and competition. *Review of International Political Economy*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692290.2025.2468308>

Maçães, Bruno. *World Builders: Technology and the New Geopolitics*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2025.

Aula 10. 19/5 Workshop; escrever um artigo acadêmico

Aula 11. 26/5. O antropoceno e os desafios ecológicos

Leituras obrigatórias:

Crutzen, Paul J. and Stoermer, Eugene F. (2000) The “Anthropocene”. *IGPB Newsletter* 41.

Bremberg, Niklas Joseph Earsom & Franziska Petri (2025): EU climate diplomacy and the geopolitics of the Anthropocene, *European Politics and Society*, DOI: 10.1080/23745118.2025.2576710

Leituras optativas:

Biermann, F. (2014). The Anthropocene: A governance perspective. *The Anthropocene Review* (Vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 57–61). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053019613516289>

Biermann, F. (2021) The future of ‘environmental’ policy in the Anthropocene: time for a paradigm shift, *Environmental Politics*, 30:1-2, 61-80, DOI: 10.1080/09644016.2020.1846958

Steffen, W., Grinevald, J., Crutzen, P., & McNeill, John. (2011). The Anthropocene: conceptual and historical perspectives. In *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* (Vol. 369, Issue 1938, pp. 842–867). The Royal Society. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2010.0327>

Folke, C., Polasky, S., Rockström, J., Galaz, V., Westley, F., Lamont, M., Scheffer, M., Österblom, H., Carpenter, S. R., Chapin, F. S., III, Seto, K. C., Weber, E. U., Crona, B. I., Daily, G. C., Dasgupta, P., Gaffney, O., Gordon, L. J., Hoff, H., Levin, S. A., ...

Walker, B. H. (2021). Our future in the Anthropocene biosphere. *Ambio* (Vol. 50, Issue 4, pp. 834–869). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01544-8>

Patberg, P. & Zelli, F. (2016) Global environmental governance in the Anthropocene: An introduction. In Patberg, P. & Zelli, F. (eds.) *Environmental Politics and Governance in the Anthropocene*. Routledge.

Rockstrom, J. (2009) A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature* 461.

Steffen, W., Richardson, K., Rockström, J., Cornell, S. E., Fetzer, I., Bennett, E. M., Biggs, R., Carpenter, S. R., de Vries, W., de Wit, C. A., Folke, C., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., Mace, G. M., Persson, L. M., Ramanathan, V., Reyers, B., & Sörlin, S. (2015). Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet. *Science* (Vol. 347, Issue 6223). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1259855>

Steffen, W., Broadgate, W., Deutsch, L., Gaffney, O., & Ludwig, C. (2015). The trajectory of the Anthropocene: The Great Acceleration. *The Anthropocene Review* Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 81–98. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053019614564785>

Vesa, S. (2025). "Critical Raw Materials in the Anthropocene: Regulatory Perspectives on their Promise and Pitfalls". In *Climate Technology and Law in the Anthropocene*. Bristol, UK: Bristol University Press. Retrieved Mar 2, 2026, from <https://doi.org/10.51952/9781529232912.ch005>

Aula 12. 2/6. Seminário: Apresentação e debates sobre outlines dos artigos

Aula 13. 9/6. A evolução das políticas ambientais globais

Leituras obrigatórias:

Sun, Yixian; Jennifer Clapp, Liliana Andonova, Peter Dauvergne, Dhanasree Jayaram, Kennedy Mbeva, Matthew Paterson, Trissia Wijaya, Fengshi Wu; *Global Environmental Politics amid Geopolitical Turbulence*. *Global Environmental Politics* 2026; 26 (1): 255–269. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP.a.731>

Dalby, S. (2025). History, geopolitics and climate security. *Environment and Security*, 3(1), 108-114.

Leituras optativas:

Burke, A. (2022). An architecture for a net zero world: Global climate governance beyond the epoch of failure. *Global Policy* (Vol. 13, Issue S3, pp. 24–37). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.13159>

Gao, Shuqin. (2017) China and Global Energy Governance: Integration or Confrontation? *Global Governance* 23, no. 2, 201:–25 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/44861125>.

Colgan J, Genovese F. Global Climate Politics after the Return of President Trump. *International Organization*. 2025;79(S1):S88-S102. doi:10.1017/S002081832510115X

- Lewis, Joanna I., Xiaoli Zhang, Fei Teng; China in Twenty-Five Years of Global Environmental Politics: The Case of Climate Diplomacy. *Global Environmental Politics* 2026; 26 (1): 100–122. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP.a.729>
- Kotze, L.J., Kim, R.E., Earth system law: The juridical dimensions of earth system governance, *Earth System Governance*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esg.2019.100003>
- Ban, C., & Hasselbalch, J. (2024). Green economic planning for rapid decarbonisation. *New Political Economy*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2024.2434469>
- Newell, Peter & Simms, Andrew (2020) Towards a fossil fuel non-proliferation treaty, *Climate Policy*, 20:8, 1043-1054, DOI: 10.1080/14693062.2019.1636759
- Paterson Matthew, Harriet Bulkeley, Peter Newell, Stacy D. VanDeveer; The Changing Contours of Climate Politics. *Global Environmental Politics* 2026; 26 (1): 59–78. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP.a.702>

Aula 14. 16/6. Governança ambiental e as cadeias globais de valor

Leituras obrigatórias:

- Søndergaard, N., & Mendes, V. (2026). Global soy chains and producer pushback to zero-deforestation commitments in Brazil. *Competition & Change*, 0(0).
- Ponte, Stefano (2019): Green Capital Accumulation: Business and Sustainability Management in a World of Global Value Chains, *New Political Economy*, DOI: 10.1080/13563467.2019.1581152

Leituras optativas:

- Dallas, Mark, Ponte, Stefano., Sturgeon ,Timothy J. (2019) Power in global value chains, *Review of International Political Economy*, 26:4, 666-694
- Dauvergne, P., & Lister, J. (2010). The Power of Big Box Retail in Global Environmental Governance: Bringing Commodity Chains Back into IR. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* (Vol. 39, Issue 1, pp. 145–160). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0305829810371018>
- Gamu, J.K., Søndergaard, N. (2023) Governance capture and socio-environmental conflict: a critical political economy of the global mining industry's prior consultation regime. *Review of International Political Economy* (forthcoming).
- Nepstad, D., McGrath, D., Stickler, C., Alencar, A., Azevedo, A., Swette, B., Bezerra, T., DiGiano, M., Shimada, J., Seroa da Motta, R., Armijo, E., Castello, L., Brando, P., Hansen, M. C., McGrath-Horn, M., Carvalho, O., & Hess, L. (2014). Slowing Amazon deforestation through public policy and interventions in beef and soy supply chains. *Science* (Vol. 344, Issue 6188, pp. 1118–1123). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248525>
- Soterroni, Aline C. et al. (2019) ,Expanding the Soy Moratorium to Brazil's Cerrado. *Science. Adv.*5,eaav7336(2019).DOI:10.1126/sciadv.aav7336

Strassburg, B., Brooks, T., Feltran-Barbieri, R. et al. (2017). Moment of truth for the Cerrado hotspot. *Nat Ecol Evol* 1, 0099 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0099>

Thives, Victor., Søndergaard, Niels & Inoue, Cristina Yumie Aoki (2022): Bringing states back into commodity-centric environmental governance: the telecoupled soy trade between Brazil and China, *Third World Quarterly*, DOI: 10.1080/01436597.2022.2081144

Aula 15. 23/6. Seminário